

MARYLAND UNDERGROUND FACILITIES DAMAGE PREVENTION AUTHORITY

Web: www.mddpa.org

Email: info@mddpa.org



MISSION STATEMENT

The Authority seeks to protect underground facilities of owners in the State of Maryland from destruction, damage or dislocation to prevent:

- death or injury to individuals;
- property damage to private and public property; and
- the loss of services provided to the general public.

To accomplish this, the Authority seeks to promote, enhance, and assist the State of Maryland in furthering and enforcing the Maryland underground utility damage prevention law and programs through efforts that include consistent enforcement, effective public education, and the constant knowledge that public safety through reduced damages is our prime concern.



MARYLAND UNDERGROUND FACILITIES DAMAGE PREVENTION AUTHORITY

MEMBER	REPRESENTING	ORGANIZATION
Thomas C. Baldwin	Underground Facility Owners	BGE
Appointment in Progress	Associated Utility Contractors of Maryland	
Bernard W. Cochran	Maryland Municipal League	Chesapeake Beach Public Works
Robert B. Cooper	Maryland Association of Counties	Harford County Public Works
Cynthia Flanders Treasurer	General Public	Skipjack Partners, LLC
Walter F. Gainer	Public Works Contractors Association	W.F. Wilson and Sons, Inc.
Walter W. Gilmer	Underground Utility Locators	Utiliquest MD/DC
R. Thomas Hoff, Sr. Chairman	One Call Centers	One Call Concepts, Inc.
Kevin Woolbright Vice Chairman	Underground Facility Owners	WSSC
STAFF Bruce C. Bereano Secretary		Office of Bruce C. Bereano
Laura Olander Executive Assistant		One Call Concepts, Inc.
info@mddpa.org www.mddpa.org		



MARYLAND STATE LAW OVERVIEW

The Maryland Underground Facilities Damage Prevention Authority (MUFDPA) was established by the Maryland legislature as Senate Bill 911 of the law of the 2010 session, updating the Maryland Underground Facilities Damage Prevention Law, more commonly known as the Miss Utility Law.

The new Law went into effect on October 1, 2010.

This was the culmination of a nearly four-year process managed by the Title XII Steering Committee comprised of representatives from a wide array of stakeholder groups, all with the common goal of bringing Maryland's damage prevention law into compliance with the 2006 federal PIPES Act and its "nine key elements" for an effective damage prevention program. This Steering Committee met a total of 65 times during this span, all at the Miss Utility Center in Hanover, MD.



OVERVIEW

MUFDPA is not a state agency and is prohibited by law from receiving any state budget funds or appropriations. The MUFDPA is a stakeholder-run organization that has the ability to enforce the Miss Utility Law in the form of mandatory training or fines for violators.

The updated Law, which was sponsored by Delegate Dereck Davis (25th District, Prince George's County) Chairman of the House Economic Matters Committee and Senator John Astle (30th District, Anne Arundel County) Vice Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee, includes the following major changes and additions:



OVERVIEW

A Maryland Underground Facilities Damage Prevention Authority will be formed as a stakeholder-run organization that has the ability to enforce the Miss Utility Law in the form of mandatory training or fines for violators. All nine members of this Authority are appointed by the Governor to serve staggered two-year terms. The makeup of this Authority will be as follows:



OVERVIEW

Two underground facility owners that are Maryland members of the Maryland/DC Subscribers Committee;

One from the Associated Utility Contractors of Maryland;

One from the Public Works Contractors Association of Maryland;

One from the One-Call Centers operating in the State;

One that represents the underground utility locator community selected by the Maryland members of the Maryland/DC Damage Prevention Committee;

One from the Maryland Association of Counties with experience in the field of underground utilities;

One from the Maryland Municipal League with experience in the field of underground utilities;

One person from the general public selected by the appointed and qualified members of the Authority.



OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

The members shall elect from their ranks a Chairperson, a Vice Chairperson, a Treasurer, and a Secretary. These officers shall be elected at the Authority's first regular meeting of the year and serve for a term of one year. Each officer shall be elected by a majority vote. The Chairperson position will be voted upon first. Following the election of the Chairperson, the Vice Chairperson, the Treasurer position shall be voted upon and then Secretary.



COMPENSATION

Members shall serve without compensation and without reimbursement for expenses. Nothing contained in this section shall be construed to prevent any sponsoring organization from compensating their representative for salary, expenses, or other compensation considered as a condition of their employment.



MEETINGS

Regular meetings shall be held at least every 3 months, or monthly, or as needed, at a time and place selected by majority vote of members.



QUORUM

At any meeting of the Authority, five (5) members present, in person or telephonically, shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business. Actions by a quorum shall be deemed to represent the actions of the entire Authority.



ADDITIONAL CHANGES

Owner members will now have two full business days to respond to a Miss Utility ticket. For example, a locate request submitted on a Monday will require a member response by midnight on a Wednesday.

Tickets will be good for 12 full business days after the day on which the ticket is transmitted by the one-call system to an owner member.

An 18-inch "no mechanized equipment" zone will become a statewide requirement for excavations or demolitions, including Montgomery County.

Licensed architects, professional engineers, professional land surveyors and landscape architects will be able to process designer tickets for projects in the planning phase. Owner members will have fifteen (15) full business days to respond to designer tickets.



ADDITIONAL CHANGES

The Maryland Department of Transportation, its administrations and the Maryland Transportation Authority will become Miss Utility members.



ADDITIONAL CHANGES

Establishment of the Underground Facilities Damage Prevention Education and Outreach Fund to foster public education, understanding and awareness about the importance of underground damage prevention and the responsibility to call before you dig.



2012 AUTHORITY MEETINGS

FEBRUARY 1

APRIL 4

MAY 2

JUNE 6

JULY 3

AUGUST 1

SEPTEMBER 5

OCTOBER 3

NOVEMBER 7

DECEMBER 5



Maryland Underground Facilities Damage Prevention Authority

Report of Probable Violation

You have reached this page in effort to resolve an issue complaint or problem involving a damage prevention stakeholder in Maryland.

It is the Authorities advice that you first attend the Local Damage Prevention Meeting * (LDPM)
The LDPM is a monthly meeting of damage prevention stakeholders of Maryland, you will have the opportunity establish lines of communication and/or to meet with stakeholder representatives to resolve your issue at the LDPM level.

If you have attended a LDPM concerning this matter, please note the date of the LDCM you attended DD/MM/YY: 08 /03 /2011 – There is a limitation of 365 days after an incident to report it

If you have not been able to resolve your issue through communications at the LDPM, Please continue to the formal Report of Probable Violation page. (A button or link goes here) (Note there is a non-refundable \$250.00 filing fee associated with each submittal)

Meetings are Held on the last Tuesday of the month at 9:30 AM, 7223 Parkway Drive Hanover MD 21076 Please visit www.missutility.net for additional information



REPORT OF PROBABLE VIOLATIONS Filing Fee - \$250.00

Non-Refundable

Please provide all information requested below to assist in conducting a thorough investigation of this incident. This information will also help build a database to guide us in furthering damage prevention. Please use a separate report for each incident. Thank you for your time.

Part A – Please send this information to: Maryland Underground Facilities Damage Prevention Authority 7223 Parkway Drive, Suite 210 Hanover, MD 21076	Toll Free Number Email: info@mdppa.org Web: www.mdppa.org	
Part B – Who is submitting this information: Name: Company: Address: City, State, Zip: Email:	Date of this report: Work Phone: Mobile Phone: Home Phone: Pager Number: Fax:	
Part C – Incident Information: Incident Date & Time: Incident Address: City/County: Cause:	□ Utility Easement	□ City Right of Way □ County Right of Way □ State Right of Way Longitude

		r				
Part D – Excavator Inf	ormation:	\	Date & Time Excava Work Phone: Mobile Phone:	_		
Company: Address:			Home Phone:	_		
City, State, Zip:			Pager Number:			
Email:	-		-ax:			
Part E – Excavator Ca	tegory:					
☐ Contractor working		ractor working f	or utility	County employee	□ Railroad em	plovee
government		erty owner/occu		State employee	□ Farmer	1
☐ Contactor working fo		icipality employe	ee \square	Utility employee	Developer	
□ Contractor working f		ate individual			Unknown	
Part F - Type of excav	vation activity:	Parallel ev	cavation □Yes □No	Exposing (pot holir	ng) facility Yes No	
7,000		i aranci ex	cavation in 103 into		9,	
□Agricultural	□Drainage work	□Plumbing	g/septic work	□Road construction	□Electricity	□Gas
□Bldg construction	□Driveway work	•	/ maintenance	□Setting poles	□Telecommunications	s □Water
□Bldg. demolition	□Fence work	□Site deve	elopment	□Sprinkler	□Cable	
□Bldg. reconstruction	□Landscaping	□Traffic si	gnal/system	□Other	□Sewer	
□Curb/sidewalk work	□Lot grading					
Part G – Type of exca	vation					
Equipment Type:	_					
□Mechanical	□Hand	Method of exc	cavation:			
□Boring*	□Explosive					
	•	□Blasting	□Ditching	□Grading	□Paving	□Scraping
		□Boring*	□Dredging	□Hand Dig	□Plowing	□Tilling
*If method is "boring", list		□Digging	□ Drilling	□Moving	□Razing	□Trenching
boring technique			□Driving	□Pavement	□Removing	□Tunneling
Directional or Missle			L D II VIII I I	Milling	□Rendering	□Wrecking

Don't II December to a of december						
Part H – Description of damage						
What type of facility was damaged?		Utility line function:		Material type (steel, plastic, etc.):		
□Water	□Sewer	□Service	□Secondary	Pressure (PSIG/inches):	s, etc.)	
□Gas	□Telecommu	□Drop	□Primary	Size (diameter, voltage, pa		
□Cable	nications	□Feeder	□Transmission	Depth of facility at the time		
□Electric	□Hazardous	□Trunk	□Fiber □Main			
	liquid pipeline					
	□Other					
Utility/Facility/Owner/Operator				Contact:		
Company:				Work Phone:		
Address:				Mobile Phone:		
City: Zip:				Home Phone:		
Email:				Pager Number:		
				Fax:		
Part I – Incident impact:						
Did the fire department respond? □Yes □No Number of Fatalities:						
Did the police department resp		Nο		Number of Injuries		
Was evacuation necessary?					ners affected:	
Was traffic stopped or detoure		ivially		Damage Repair C		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		D		Other Property Im		
Was there a service interruption? Yes No Duration: Other Property Repair Cost: Other Impact: Other Impac						
Excavation bown Time Cost.	φ Duration.			Other Impact		
Part J – Notification center in						
Did the person have a valid tic			Type of t	ticket:		
☐Yes ☐ No Ticket Number: _			□ Regu	ılar 15 working day	□ Emergency	
Did the manage shoot Underson		f ti	□ Rema	ark notice	□ Special project	
Did the person check Underground Facilities Information Exchange System?		□ Desi	gner	□ Meeting		
□Yes □No How: □Phone □Fax Back □Website □Email						
LIES LING TIOW. LITTOTE LITAX DACK LIVEDSILE LILITATI						
Part K – Locating/marking of utility line						
NOTE: Please attach a copy of the "locator's manifest" and location sketch with this report if available						
Who marked this line? □Facility Owner/Operator □Contract Locator						
Locator's Name:						
Company:						

Address:	Work Phone:
City, State, Zip: Email:	Mobile Phone:
	Home Phone: Pager Number:
Was the line marked prior to the damage? □Yes □No	Fax:
What types of marks were present? □Paint □Flags □Stakes	
Describe the condition of the marks in the proposed excavation area: □Bright □Visible □	□Faded □Destroyed □No Marks
Were facilities visible (clear evidence) in the excavation area? □Yes □No – If Yes, indic	ate record type:
Were facilities marked in accordance with Maryland law and local practices? □Yes □No	
Additional Comments:	



MARYLAND UNDERGROUND FACILITIES DAMAGE PREVENTION AUTHORITY

OPEN ITEMS

- Funding
- Finalization of all documents
- Standard Correspondence
- Tracking Software
- First Report to the Legislature
- Insurance
- Counsel
- PowerPoint for meetings and educational purposes
- Complaint process